

## **General Disclaimer**

### **One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document**

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

# CASE FILE

## Letters to the Editors

## COPY

N89281

XI

Letters to the Editors on points of scientific interest related to combustion and flames are invited. The Editors do not hold themselves responsible for opinions expressed in correspondence. Anonymous contributions cannot be accepted.

### Comparison of Temperatures of Flames on Porous Burners

In a recent communication<sup>1</sup>, W. E. KASKAN has compared maximum temperatures for lean, flat hydrogen-air flames on porous burners obtained by measurement with coated thermocouples with temperatures for corresponding flames deduced from the heat loss data of D. B. SPALDING and V. S. YUMLU<sup>2</sup>. Kaskan found that temperatures based on the data of Spalding and Yumlu were several hundred degrees higher than those obtained with his coated thermocouples, but could not account for the difference in terms of reasonable sources of heat loss.

We have used the heat abstraction method to deduce flame temperatures based on the upstream heat loss from a flame to water flowing through a coil sintered to the base of a porous copper burner 4 in. in diameter and  $\frac{5}{16}$  in. thick. As a precaution before applying these temperatures, we compared them with thermocouple temperatures previously reported by W. E. KASKAN<sup>3,4</sup>. As shown by the examples given in Table 1, the correspondence is fairly good. The differences are always less than 100°K, and a reasonable allowance for the small differences in initial conditions shows that the thermocouple temperatures are usually higher.

These results suggest that in the method used by Spalding and Yumlu to determine the heat loss a significant part of the heat loss was not measured. Apparently, if the heat abstraction

rate is measured with sufficient accuracy, valid temperatures can be obtained.

B. FINE

NASA-Lewis Research Center,  
21000 Brookpark Road,  
Cleveland 35, Ohio

(Received November 1960)

Table 1. Comparison of flame temperatures obtained by thermocouple and heat abstraction methods for closely corresponding initial conditions

Pressure atm	Equiv. ratio	Stream velocity of unburnt gas	Temp. °K	Source
Heat abstraction				
1	0.80	30	1645	
1	0.80	45	1690	
0.41	0.70	13.5	1377	
0.41	0.70	30	1513	
0.25	0.50	25	1447	
Thermocouple				
1	0.80	30	1695	Ref. 3
1	0.80	45	1780	Ref. 3
0.45	0.80	13.6	1435	Ref. 4
0.45	0.60	31.1	1560	Ref. 4
0.45	0.50	20.6	1410	Ref. 4

### References

- <sup>1</sup> KASKAN, W. E. *Combustion & Flame*, 1960, 4, 285
- <sup>2</sup> SPALDING, D. B. and YUMLU, V. S. *Combustion & Flame*, 1959, 3, 553
- <sup>3</sup> KASKAN, W. E. *Sixth Symposium (International) on Combustion*, p 134. Reinhold: New York, 1957
- <sup>4</sup> KASKAN, W. E. *Combustion & Flame*, 1958, 2, 229

Reprinted from **C O M B U S T I O N A N D F L A M E**  
Quarterly Journal of the Combustion Institute  
VOLUME 5 NO. 1 MARCH 1961

Published by **BUTTERWORTHS PUBLICATIONS LTD**  
88 KINGSWAY LONDON, W.C.2

Subscription : **UNITED KINGDOM** **FIVE GUINEAS PER ANNUM**  
**U.S.A.** **\$16.00** , ,